1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	RICHARD S.E. JOHNS, (SBN 053024) KIPPERMAN & JOHNS 57 Post Street, Suite 604 San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: (415) 781-8494 Facsimile: (415) 397-0792 RICHARD B. BRUALDI GAITRI BOODHOO AYESHA N. ONYEKWELU THE BRUALDI LAW FIRM, P.C 29 Broadway, Suite 1515 New York, New York 10006 Telephone: (212) 952-0602 SCOTT W. FISHER GARWIN GERSTEIN & FISHER LLP 1501 Broadway New York, New York 10036 Telephone: (212) 398-0055 Attorneys for Plaintiffs	JORDAN ETH (SBN 121617) TERRI GARLAND (SBN 169563) PHILIP T. BESIROF (SBN 185053) RAYMOND M. HASU (SBN 200058) MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market Street San Francisco, California 94105-2482 Telephone: (415) 268-7000 Facsimile: (415) 268-7522 Email: RHasu@mofo.com Attorney for Nominal Defendants JDS Uniphase Corporation and Individual Defendants Jozef Straus, Don Scifres, Martin A. Kaplan, Bruce D. Day, Robert E. Enos, Peter A. Guglielmi, John A. MacNaughton, Wilson Sibbett, Anthony R. Muller, M. Zita Cobb, Joseph Ip, Charles J. Abbe, Frederick L Leonberger, Michael C. Phillips, and Harry Deffebach	
	LINUTED OT A TEO DIOTRICT COLUDT		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15	OAKLAND DIVISION		
16			
17	ROBERT CORWIN, Derivatively On Behalf of JDS UNIPHASE CORPORATION,	Case No. C-02-2020 CW	
18	Plaintiffs,		
19	V.	STIPULATION AND ORDER RE AMENDMENT OF COMPLAINT	
20	MARTIN A. KAPLAN, et al.,	AND SCHEDULING AS MODIFIED	
21	Defendants.		
22			
23	and		
24	JDS UNIPHASE CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,		
25	Nominal Defendant.		
26			
27			
28	STIP. AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE AMENDMENT OF COMPL. AND SCHEDULING CASE NO. 02-2020 CW		
	sf-2502013		

1	WHEREAS, Defendants moved to dismiss the First Amended Complaint;		
2	WHEREAS, on January 6, 2005, the Court issued an order, inter alia:		
3	a. stating that the "material facts in this action are the same" as those in the		
4	related securities class action captioned In re JDS Uniphase Securities		
5	Litigation (the "Main Securities Class Action");		
6	b. stating that "[b]ecause the Court has denied the defendants' motion to		
7	dismiss in that case, it would not be in the interest of justice for the Court		
8	to grant Defendants' motion to dismiss here, even though Plaintiff's		
9	current complaint is still not adequately plead[ed]"; and		
10	c. staying this action pending resolution of the Main Securities Class Action;		
11	WHEREAS, on January 19, 2005, the Court issued a separate order denying Defendants'		
12	motion to dismiss the First Amended Complaint without prejudice;		
13	WHEREAS, on November 27, 2007, the jury rendered a verdict for the defendants on all		
14	claims in the Main Securities Class Action;		
15	WHEREAS, on December 4, 2007, the Court in this action entered a Minute Order		
16	providing that if this action is not dismissed, the Court will set a date for the defendants to file a		
17	renewed motion to dismiss;		
18	WHEREAS, the plaintiff in this action desires to amend his complaint again;		
19	WHEREAS, the parties believe that it will conserve the resources of the Court and the		
20	parties and promote efficiency to allow amendment; and		
21	WHEREAS, the parties agree that all discovery and all deadlines apart from those listed		
22	below shall remain stayed until such time, if any, that the Court determines that the plaintiff has		
23	satisfied the applicable pleading standards.		
24	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and among the parties in this action, pursuant to Fed.		
25	R. Civ. P. 15(a) and through their counsel of record, that:		
26	1. Plaintiff agrees to dismiss the First Amended Complaint without prejudice, effective		
27	upon the filing of a Second Amended Complaint;		
28	2. Plaintiff will file a Second Amended Complaint on or before May 9, 2008; STIP. AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE AMENDMENT OF COMPL. AND SCHEDULING CASE NO. 02-2020 CW 1		

sf-2502013

1	3.	Upon the filing of a Second Ame	ended Complaint, the First Amended Complaint shall
2		be deemed dismissed without prejudice;	
3	4.	. The defendants will move to dismiss or otherwise respond to the Second Amended	
4		Complaint on or before June 20, 2008;	
5	5.	If the defendants move to dismiss the Second Amended Complaint, the plaintiff shall	
6		have until August 1, 2008, to opp	pose that motion;
7	6.	If the plaintiff opposes the defendants' motion to dismiss, the defendants shall have	
8		until August 22, 2008, to file a reply;	
9	7.	The hearing on the defendants' motion to dismiss (if any) shall occur on September 4,	
10		2008, or such other time thereaft	er that is set by the Court; and
11	8.	All discovery and all case deadli	nes apart from those listed above shall remain stayed
12		until such time, if any, that the Court determines that the plaintiff has satisfied the applicable pleading standards.	
13			
14	Dated: April 22, 2008		IODDANIETH
15			JORDAN ETH TERRI GARLAND
16			PHILIP T. BESIROF RAYMOND M. HASU MORPISON & FOURTHER LLD
17			MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
18			By: /s/ Terri Garland Terri Garland
19			Attorneys for Nominal Defendant JDS Uniphase
20			Corporation and Individual Defendants Jozef Straus, Don Scifres, Martin A. Kaplan, Bruce D. Day, Robert E. Enos, Peter A. Guglielmi, John A.
21			MacNaughton, Wilson Sibbett, Anthony R. Muller, M. Zita Cobb, Joseph Ip, Charles J. Abbe,
22			Frederick L. Leonberger, Michael C. Phillips, and Harry Deffebach
23			Harry Defrebach
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

STIP. AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE AMENDMENT OF COMPL. AND SCHEDULING CASE No. 02-2020 CW $\,$

Case 4:02-cv-02020-CW Document 81 Filed 04/23/08 Page 4 of 5

1	RICHARD JOHNS KIPPERMAN & JOHNS	
2		
3	RICHARD B. BRUALDI GAITRI BRUALDI	
4	AYESHA ONYEKWELU THE BRUALDI LAW FIRM, P.C.	
5	SCOTT W. FISHER GARWIN GERSTEIN & FISHER LLP	
6		
7	By: <u>/s/ Richard B. Brualdi</u> Richard B. Brualdi	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
9	7 Morneys for Flanking	
10		
11		
12	* * *	
13	ORDER	
14	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. The Case Management	
15		
16	Conference remains on calendar May 6, 2008, at 2:00 p.m. with related cases.	
	Chadiale)	
17	HONORABLE CLAUDIA WILKEN	
18	United States District Judge	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	CTID AND [DRODGED] ORDER DE AMENDMENT OF COMPL. AND SCHEDNIUMS	

Stip. and [Proposed] Order reamendment of compl. and scheduling Case No. 02-2020 CW

Case 4:02-cv-02020-CW Document 81 Filed 04/23/08 Page 5 of 5

1	I, Raymond M. Hasu, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this				
2	Stipulation and [Proposed] Order re Amendment of Complaint and Scheduling. In compliance				
3	with General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that Terri Garland, attorney for Defendants,				
4	Richard	Plaintiffs, have concurred in this filing.			
56	Dated:	April 22, 2008	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP		
7			Drug /a/ Daymand M. Haay		
8			By:/s/ Raymond M. Hasu Raymond M. Hasu		
9			Attorney for Defendants		
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16 17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27 28					
20	CTID AND	[Dropoger] Order be AMEN	DMENT OF COMBLAND SCHEDULING		

Stip. and [Proposed] Order reamendment of compl. and scheduling Case No. 02-2020 CWsf-2502013